| Total Ankle Arthroplasty  |
|---------------------------|
| Age/Weight/Co-Morbidities |
| Are there limits?         |

AS Flemister Jr MD Tampa FORE 2017

# Disclosure

I have nothing to disclose

# Why Total Ankle?

- Better Function?
- Preservation of surrounding joints (probably)



| Problem: Eventual Failure   |   |
|---|---|
| 144   |   |
| 10 00   |   |
|   |   |
|   | - |
|   | , |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   | • |
| Goals   |   |
|   |   |
| Younger Age   |   |
| Obesity Rheumatoid Arthritis  |   |
| Diabetes Mellitus   |   |
| Diagetes Meineus  |   |
| How do these factors effect outcome of Total     Applies Arthroplectics |   |
| Ankle Arthroplasty?   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   | 1 |
| Outcomes in General   |   |
| Scandinavian Total Ankle Replacement (STAR)                             |   |
| Mobile Bearing system   |   |
| Salto Talaris   |   |
| Fixed Bearing system  |   |
| InBone I/II   |   |
| Intramedullary fixation system  |   |
|   |   |

### **STAR**

### Brunner et al JBJS am 2013

- 77 ankles fu 12.4 yrs mean follow up
- 38% revision of metallic components
- Probability of implant survival

70.7 % at 10 years, 45.6 % at 14 yrs



### **STAR**

### Daniels et al JBJS am 2015

- 111 ankle fu 9.0 years
- 12 % revision at mean 4.3 years
- 18% poly failure

# Kerkhoff et al FAI 2016

- 134 ankles followed min
- 78% survival at 10yrs



# Salto

- Bonnin et al 2011 CORR
  - 89 ankles fu 8.9 yrs 35% reoperation, 85% overall survival
- Nodzo et al 2014 FAI
  - 98 % survival, 75 implants at 43 months
- Hofmann et al 2016 JBJS
  - 97.5% survival at 5.2 yrs in 81 ankles



# **INBone**

### Adams et al JBJS 2014

• 194 TAA, 3.7 yr fu, implant survival 89%

### Hsu, Haddad JBJS 2015

- 59 TAA at 35 mo
- 96.6% survival



# If it fails we'll just fuse it





# AGE

### Easley & Colleagues FAI 2015

- 395 pts at 3.5 yrs FU
- <55 y/o(81)
- 55-70 (221)
- >70 (93)

No difference in outcomes, wound problems, reoperation, revisions Pinto et al FA Surg 2013

103 pts at 41 mo FU 2 groups <50 y/o (31) or > 50 y/o(72)

No difference in complications or survivorship

# Obesity

# Obesity

Daniels and colleagues JBJS am 2015

- 39 pts w/BMI > 30 (10 BMI > 40) :3.8yr fu
- 48 pts w/BMI < 30: 3.9 yr fu
- No difference in complications or revisions, outcome improved in all

Barg et al FAI 2011

- 123 TARs with BMI > 30
- 6 yr survivorship 93%
- Improvement in pain and function significant

# Obesity

### Gross et al FAI 2016

- 266 pts BMI < 30, fu 45 mos
- 116 pts BMI 30-35, fu 43 mos
- 73pts BMI >35, fu 45 mos
- Obese pts had lower function
- Pain, overall functional improvement, revisions all same

# Obesity

Haddad & colleagues, FAI 2016

201649 pts < 30 BMI, 8.2 yr</li>

fu

- 48pts >30 BMI, 7.7 yr fu
- Obese patients with primary OA had increased risk of failure at 5 yrs

Penner et al JBJS am 2012

- 145 overweight and obese pts fu at 6mos, 2yrs, 5 yrs
- Successful TAR or fusion
- No change in BMI

# **Rheumatoid Arthritis**





# **Rheumatoid Arthritis**

- Pedersen et al 2014, JBJS
- 50 pts w/ RA and 50 pts w/out
- Followup 64 mos and 66 mos
- No difference in revisions 7 in RA vs 5
- One deep wound infection in RA, none in other



# **Diabetes Mellitus** Gross et al FAI 2015 Schipper et al FAI 2015 • 50 pts w/DM vs 50 2973 TAA w/out Complication rate • Fu 2.3 yrs vs 3.1 yrs DM 7.8 % (307 TAA) • 10% in DM had Non diabetic 4.7 %( 2655) secondary procedure Higher rates of • 14.5% in control transfusion, non home • No difference in failure discharge, overall rates complications in DM My Thoughts • All TAA will fail eventually • Salvage is not always successful and often complicated • Most of the studies have too short of follow up along with other flaws My Thoughts • Age > 55 y/o if subtalar OA, but I try to get them closer to 60 • Obesity BMI < 35-40 • RA- meticulous soft tissue handling

• DM- HbA1c < 7, no neuropathy

about risk factors

• Need to have detailed discussion with patient

| Thank YOU |  |
|-----------|--|
|           |  |
|           |  |